

Automates d'arbre

TD n°1 : Recognizable Tree Languages and Finite Tree Automata

September 19, 2019

Exercise 1: First constructions of Tree Automatas

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f(2), g(1), a(0)\}$. Give a DFTA and a top-down DFTA for the set $G(t)$ of ground instances of the term $t = f(f(a, x), g(y))$ which is defined by :

$$G(t) = \left\{ f(f(a, u), g(v)) \mid u, v \in T(\mathcal{F}) \right\}$$

Exercise 2: What is recognizable by an FTA ?

Are the following tree languages recognizable (by a bottom-up FTA) ?

- $\mathcal{F} = \{g(1), a(0)\}$ and L the set of ground terms of even height.
- $\mathcal{F} = \{f(2), g(1), a(0)\}$ and L the set of ground terms of even height.

Exercise 3: Bottom-up vs Top-down

- 1) Recall why bottom-up NFTAs, bottom-up DTAs and top-down NFTAs have the same expressiveness.
- 2) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f(2), g(1), a(0)\}$. Give a DFTA and a top-down NFTA for the set $M(t)$ of terms which have a ground instance of the term $t = f(a, g(x))$ as a subterm, i.e. $M(t) = \left\{ C[f(a, g(u))] \mid C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}), u \in T(\mathcal{F}) \right\}$.
- 3) Show that NFTAs and top-down DFTAs do not have the same expressiveness.

Bonus exercise : Satisfiability

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{and(2), or(2), not(1), 0(0), 1(0), x(0)\}$. A ground term over \mathcal{F} can then be viewed as a boolean formula over x .

- 1) Give an NFTA which recognizes the set of satisfiable boolean formulae over x .
Let $\mathcal{F} = \{and(2), or(2), not(1), 0(0), 1(0), x_1(0), \dots, x_n(0)\}$, i.e we now handle n variables instead of a single one. The same variable may appear several times in a formula, and should be evaluated consistently.
- 2) Give an NFTA which recognizes the set of satisfiable boolean formulae over x_1, \dots, x_n .